

In re Patent Application of:

Yoshiyuki Suda

Serial No. 09/788,443

Filed: February 21, 2001

For: ELECTROMAGNET ASSEMBLY FOR ELECTROMAGNETIC APPARATUS

RESPONSE TO ELECTION OF SPECIES REQUIREMENT UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 121

Commissioner for Patents U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

In an Election of Species Requirement mailed January 24, 2002, the Examiner identified the following allegedly patentably distinct species, and requested that Applicant indicate which claims are readable upon each species. The Examiner also requested that Applicant elect one of the ten (10) species for prosecution in the above-captioned patent application.¹

<u>Species</u>	<u>Figures</u>	<u>Claims</u>
Species I	Figs. 8-10	Claims 1, 2, and 4
Species II	Fig. 11	Claims 1-4
Species III	Figs. 12-13	Claims 1, 2, and 4-6
Species IV	Fig. 14	Claims 1-4
Species V	Fig. 15	Claim 1, 2, 4, and 7-8
Species VI	Figs. 16-21	Claims 9-13
Species VII	Fig. 22	Claims 9-11 and 14

Applicant acknowledges with appreciation the Examiner's willingness to conduct a telephone interview with Applicant's representatives in order to clarify that **Figs. 8-10** should have been included as a separate species in the above-captioned patent application. Consequently, Applicant has renumbered the species, starting with **Figs. 8-10** as species I.

DC01:317709.1 -1-